

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SYNQOR, INC.

V.

VICOR CORPORATION

§
§
§
§
§

Cause No. 2:14CV287-RWS-JBB

ORDER

The Court issues the following *sua sponte*. Contemporaneously with this Order, the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge is entering an Order denying Defendant Vicor Corporation’s Motion to Exclude the Opinions and Testimony of Brett L. Reed (Dkt. No. 390). Because the Court cites allegations from briefing which the parties have filed under seal, the Court has sealed the Order.

A district court must use caution when exercising its discretion to place records under seal because there is a “strong presumption that all trial proceedings should be subject to scrutiny by the public.” *United States v. Holy Land Found. for Relief & Dev.*, 624 F.3d 685, 690 (5th Cir. 2010); *see also Federal Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp. v. Blain*, 808 F.2d 395, 399 (5th Cir. 1987) (“The district court’s discretion to seal the record of judicial proceedings is to be exercised charily”). Even where no party opposes sealing, the burden is on the movant to establish the presumption in favor of public records is overcome.


Given this presumption, the Court will unseal the Order. Before doing so, however, the Court will allow the parties seven days from the date of entry of this Order in which to submit a proposed publicly-available redacted version of the Order. The parties shall redact only those portions of the Order with respect to which the parties have a legitimate and overriding business

interest in maintaining confidentiality and shall be prepared to submit a particularized showing regarding those redactions in the event the Court finds it necessary.

The parties shall advise the Court in writing if no redactions are necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this the 5th day of October, 2022.



J. Boone Baxter
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE